

REMARKS

Claims 126-150, 152-166, 168-172 and 172-179 are presented for examination.

Claims 139, 165, and 171 are amended to recite that the marking is controlled using feedback from an electronic imager. Claims 177-179 are new.

Claims 126-149 and 151-164 are allowable.

Claims 139-149, 165-166, 168-172 and 174-176 are rejected as being obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Rosenwasser et al. in view of Gresser et al. Neither Rosenwasser et al. nor Gresser et al. disclose the use of feedback control for microinscription of a gemstone. In contrast, the present application notes that the laser parameters may be intentionally set at a level insufficient to guaranty a marking, or at a variable level, since electronic imager feedback may be used to control the process and/or re-mark any suboptimal markings. It is therefore respectfully submitted that the amended claims clearly distinguish the art and are therefore allowable.

An early Notice of Allowance is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



Steven M. Hoffberg
Reg. 33,511

Milde & Hoffberg LLP
Suite 460, 10 Bank Street
White Plains, NY 10606
(914) 949-3100